

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 2023 1

. ii Ali i ATTURNEY DOCKET NO. 08/082,021 05/14/93 BERGMEYER 67270JLT ARTHUR, L . Children 18N1/0719 J. JEFFREY HAWLEY EASTMAN KODAK CUMPANY PATENT LEGAL STAFF ROCHESTER, NY 14650-2201 CAPER HUMBER 13 1807

07/19/94

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

## ADVISORY ACTION

	a) [] is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final	
	b) We expires three months (see that the final re	
	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, which event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, which events are the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, which events are the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, which is a statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, which is a statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, which is a statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of the final rejection or as of the fina	Chever is loter to
	Ally extension of time much t	ar rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response a purposes of determining the period of extension and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and all 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set for Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFP 4 tests.	nd the appropriate fee
	Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1 103(a)	in in b) above.
	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed June 20, 1994, has been considered with the following effect to place the application in condition for allowance:	but it is not at
	(1) The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered so the	
	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and	because:
	b. Ty They raise pour issues the	was not earlier
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).	* .
	they raise the lastle of new matter. (See Note).	
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplify appeal.	
	—, recorning of simplify	ing the issues for
	e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	5 thriany rejected claims.	a 11
	NOTE: New claim 39 is indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd P, over the recitation of "50 owners the group of primer gets." Eccause @ "the group of primer sets" lacks and early the group of primer sets " lacks and early the group of primer sets" lacks and early the group of primer sets " lacks and early the group of primer sets" lacks and early the group of primer sets."	
sung sale	cted from the group of primer sets " " " water 35 0.5 c 11.2. and IP over the recutation of "	and forte no 1 "
clear as to	ownether "the first primers" and "the "recause @ the grap of primer sets" lacks underedent by	The state of the
as prima	NOTE: New claim 39 is indefinite under 35 USC 112, 2nd Prover the recitation of "so whether "the group of primer sets." because Q "the group of primer sets " lacks antecedent bas so feach of the primers and "the 2nd primers" refer to the first place 2nd primer set of the primer sets.	to the first or
	the non-allowable claims. would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed any	endment consetti
	3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of be as follows:	f the claims witt
	Claims allowed: <u>none</u>	- Inninto setti
	Claims objected to	
	Claims rejected: 1-13, 15-20, 22-27, 30-38	
	However;	
nerdment e	However;  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Of the objection to the drawings of the spee, 31 rejection of claim 35 under 35 USC. 112 and IP.  4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but done and are the speed of the objection to the drawings.	Doblethonto
-5	4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for recognitionation had.	J SIGNIO NE
	4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection bed	ause
	5. The affidavit or exhibit will and	<u> </u>
	5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it were presented.	Vas not earlier
	☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ by ☐	/ /
	☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. M. Paux	1/1dav
	- Other	110/72
	MARGARET PARR	
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  08/06/2 02.1  GROUP 1800	}
	1000	